arXiv:hep-th/9403001v1 1 Mar 1994

Generation of a quantum integrable class of discrete-time or relativistic periodic Toda chains

Anjan Kundu
Physikalisches Instituet der Universitaet Bonn
Nussallee 12, 53115 Bonn, Germany

Abstract

A new integrable class of quantum models representing a family of different discrete-time or relativistic generalisations of the periodic Toda chain (TC), including that of a recently proposed classical close to TC model [7] is presented. All such models are shown to be obtainable from a single ancestor model at different realisations of the underlying quantised algebra. As a consequence the (2×2) Lax operators and the associated quantum R-matrices for these models are easily derived ensuring their quantum integrability. It is shown that the functional Bethe ansatz developed for the quntum TC is trivially generalised to achieve seperation of variables also for the present models.

Address after June'94: Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics,AF/1 Bidhan Nagar,Calcutta 700 064,India.

1. Toda chain (TC), which is considered to be one of the most fascinating integrable lattice systems, attracted continuous attention over the years to investigate both its classical [1] and quantum [2,3] aspects. In recent past a descrete-time TC (DTTC) was proposed by Suris at the classical level [4], which was also shown to be equivalent to the relativistic TC of Ruijsenaars [5]. Following that we had obtained [6] two different quantum generalisations of such DTTC along with the associated quantum R-matrices. Recently another close to TC model, which is classically integrable, has been put forward [7]. We present here a descrete-time as well as quantum generalisation of this model. However the basic aim of this letter is to find a new quantum integrable class of different discrete-time generalisations of the periodic TC, which are also canonically related to the relativistic TC of Ruijsenaars. We show that all such models can be generated from a single ancestor model at different realisations of the underlying quantised algebra, which is defined by the extended trigonometric Sklyanin algebra [6]. At the same time we also obtain automatically the associated quantum R-matrix, while the integrability condition given by the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE)

$$R(\lambda,\mu)\ L_1(\lambda)\ L_2(\mu)\ =\ L_2(\mu)\ L_1(\lambda)\ R(\lambda,\mu). \tag{1}$$

with $L_1 \equiv L \otimes \mathbf{1},\ L_2 \equiv \mathbf{1} \otimes L$ is satisfied by construction. Moreover, we observe that the functional Bethe ansatz developed by Sklyanin [3] for the seperation of variables in quantum TC can be applied in a parallel way also to its descrete-time generalisations.

Since our aim here is to generate models, we start not from any particular model, but directly from the quantum R-matrix taking it in the form

$$R_\theta(\lambda_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\lambda_{12}+\alpha) & & & \\ & e^{-i\theta}\sin\lambda_{12} & \sin\alpha & \\ & \sin\alpha & e^{i\theta}\sin\lambda_{12} & \\ & & & \sin(\lambda_{12}+\alpha) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

with $\lambda_{12} = \lambda - \mu$. Note that (2) is obtained from the standard trigonometric R_0-matrix [8] by a simple 'gauge transformation' [9]: $R_\theta = F(\theta) R_0 F(\theta)$ with $F(\theta) = e^{i\theta(\sigma_3 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \sigma_3)}$, which takes a solution of the YBE to another solution of it introducing an extra parameter θ. Now following the Yang-Baxterisation scheme for the construction of Lax operators [10] one obtains its generalised form

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi\tau_1^- + \frac{1}{\xi}\tau_1^+ & \tau_{21} \\ \tau_{12} & \xi\tau_2^- + \frac{1}{\xi}\tau_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

with spectral parameter $\xi = e^{i\lambda}$ and yet undefined abstract operators $\vec{\tau}$. The integrability condition on (3), that is the validity of QYBE (1) with R-matrix (2), dictates the algebra for $\vec{\tau}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\theta}\tau_{12}\tau_{21} - e^{-i\theta}\tau_{21}\tau_{12} \ &= \ -2i\sin\alpha\left(\tau_1^+\tau_2^- - \tau_1^-\tau_2^+\right) \ , \\ \tau_i^\pm\tau_{ij} \ = \ e^{i(\pm\alpha+\theta)}\tau_{ij}\tau_i^\pm \ , &\quad \tau_i^\pm\tau_{ji} \ = \ e^{i(\mp\alpha-\theta)}\tau_{ji}\tau_i^\pm \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with $i,j = 1,2$. We call this algebra extended trigonometric Sklyanin algebra, which may be reduced to the well known quantum algebra $U_q(su(2))$ at some particular reduction, though in general, it allows more freedom necessary for the construction of integrable models [6]. Thus (3) represents the Lax operator of a generalised quantum integrable model, entries of

2. Now, in order to generate a family of DTTC related to R-matrix (2), we consider different realisations of algebra (4) in canonical operators u, p with $[u,p] = i\hbar$. First imposing the reduction $\tau_2^{\pm} = 0$ in (4) we simplify it to

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{12}\tau_{21} &= e^{-2i\theta}\tau_{21}\tau_{12} \ , \\ \tau_1^{\pm}\tau_{12} &= e^{i(\pm\alpha+\theta)}\tau_{12}\tau_1^{\pm} \ , \quad \tau_1^{\pm}\tau_{21} = e^{i(\mp\alpha-\theta)}\tau_{21}\tau_1^{\pm} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Observing that only Wyel type relations are involved in the above algebra we easily find a realisation

$$\tau_1^{+} = e^{(\eta+\epsilon)p}, \ \tau_1^{-} = -e^{-(\eta-\epsilon)p}, \quad \tau_{21} = \eta e^{-c\epsilon p+q}, \ \tau_{12} = -\eta e^{(2+c)\epsilon p-q} \tag{6}$$

consistent with (5), where $\alpha = \eta\hbar, \theta = \epsilon\hbar$. Since we are dealing here with operators, a certain ordering should be maintained in (6) and in all other relevant expressions in what follows and we also consider only the periodic boundary condisions for all models. Inserting (6) with reduction $\tau_2^{\pm} = 0$ in (3) one gets the explicit form

$$L_{(\epsilon,c)}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\xi}e^{(\eta+\epsilon)p} - \xi e^{-(\eta-\epsilon)p} & \eta e^{-c\epsilon p+q} \\ -\eta e^{(2+c)\epsilon p-q} & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{7}$$

Therefore Lax operator (7) associated with quantum R-matrix (2) represents an integrable model, which depends on additional parameters ϵ and c. Defining $I^+ \equiv -C_{n-2}(C_n)^{-1}$ and $I^+ \equiv -C_{-(n-2)}(C_{-n})^{-1}$, where $C_{\pm n}$ are conserved quantities obtained as the expansion coefficients of the related transfer matrix

$$t(\xi) = trT(\xi) = tr(\prod L_n) = tr\begin{pmatrix} A(\xi) & B(\xi) \\ C(\xi) & D(\xi) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_n C_n\xi^n + C_{-n}\xi^{-n} \tag{8}$$

one arrives at the Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2}(I^+ + I^-)$ and the momentum $P = \frac{1}{2}(I^+ - I^-)$ of the system as

$$H = \sum_i \left(\cosh 2\eta p_i + \eta^2 \cosh \eta(p_i + p_{i+1}) e^{\epsilon(1+c)(p_{i+1}-p_i)+(q_i-q_{i+1})}\right) , \tag{9}$$

$$P = \sum_i \left(\sinh 2\eta p_i + \eta^2 \sinh \eta(p_i + p_{i+1}) e^{-\epsilon(1+c)(p_i-p_{i+1})+(q_i-q_{i+1})}\right) . \tag{10}$$

To clarify the meaning of the parameters entering into the system notice that, the Lax operator (7) and the Hamiltonian (9) depend on the deformation parameters η, ϵ along with c coming from the realisation (6), but not on the parameter $\hbar$. On the other hand R-matrix (2) and the quantised algebra (4) are free from c and depend on $\alpha = \eta\hbar, \theta = \epsilon\hbar$, i.e. they depend not only on η, ϵ, but also on the quantum parameter $\hbar$ coming from the commutators. When the deformation parameter $q = e^{\eta} \to 1$, i.e. $\eta \to 0$ with finite $\hbar$, one has to scale also the spectral parameters like $\lambda = \eta u$, which would reduce the R-matrix to its rational form and the L operator to the time-continuous quantum TC model, though more generalised to include parameters ϵ and c. However we notice that for general values of θ (2) does not give the classical r as in (12). Therefore such integrable models interestingly seem to be living only at the quantum level.

In the case when $c = -1$ or $\epsilon \to 0$ one recovers at $\eta \to 0$ the standard Toda chain and therefore we concentrate now on them. Consider first the choice $\epsilon = c_0\eta$ yielding from (6):

with $\tau_2^{\pm} = 0$, which readily gives the Lax operator from (3) associated with R_θ matrix (2) with $\theta = c_0\alpha$. The classical limit exists for this model, since the R-matrix at $\hbar \to 0$:

$$R(c_0\alpha, \alpha, \lambda_{12}) = I + \hbar r(\epsilon, \eta, \lambda_{12}) + O(\hbar) \tag{12}$$

yields the classical r-matrix and algebra (4) with $\theta = c_0\alpha$ reduces to a Poisson bracket algebra. The relevant conserved charges of the model may be given by

$$I^{\pm} = \sum_i \left(e^{\pm 2\eta p_i} + \eta^2 e^{\pm\eta(p_i+p_{i+1}) + \eta c_0(1+c)(p_{i+1}-p_i) + (q_i - q_{i+1})} \right) . \tag{13}$$

Note that at the continous-time or equivalently at the nonrelativistic limit : $\eta \to 0$, the corresponding Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2}(I^+ + I^-)$, Lax operator and the quantum R-matrix yield exactly those belonging to the standard quantum TC. Thus this model for different values of parameters c_0 and c, generates a family of discrete-time or relativistic quantum integrable Toda chains. Interestingly, since the R-matrix is independent of parameter c, all the models with different values of c will share the same quantum R-matrix. Some particular models of the above integrable class (11,13) deserve special attention and we will look more closely at them.

For example, at $c_0 = 1$ and $c = 0$, (11) reduces to

$$\tau_1^+ = e^{2\eta p}, \ \tau_1^- = -1 \quad \tau_{21} = \eta e^q, \ \tau_{12} = -\eta e^{2\eta p - q} \tag{14}$$

and the conserved quantities (13) take simpler form as

$$I^+ = \sum_i \left(e^{2\eta p_i} \left(1 + \eta^2 e^{(q_{i-1} - q_i)} \right) \right), \quad I^- = \sum_i \left(e^{-2\eta p_i} \left(1 + \eta^2 e^{(q_i - q_{i+1})} \right) \right) . \tag{15}$$

We immediately recogise this case to be the quantum generalisation of the DTTC due to Suris [4]. The associated quantum R_α-matrix is obtained easily by putting $\theta = \alpha$ in (2), which through (12) recovers exactly the asymmetric classical r-matrix of [4].

A special case having the most simplification is obtained when $c = c_0 = 0$. As it is seen from (11) and (13) the Lax operator of this model is given by

$$L_0(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\xi} e^{\eta p} - \xi e^{-\eta p} & \eta e^q \\ -\eta e^{-q} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

yielding the Hamiltonian and momentum in more symmetric form

$$H = \sum_i \left(\cosh 2\eta p_i + \eta^2 \cosh \eta (p_i + p_{i+1}) e^{q_i - q_{i+1}} \right), \tag{17}$$

$$P = \sum_i \left(\sinh 2\eta p_i + \eta^2 \sinh \eta (p_i + p_{i+1}) e^{q_i - q_{i+1}} \right). \tag{18}$$

The corresponding R-matrix is clearly the $\theta = 0$ expression of (2), i.e. the standard one [8]

$$R_0(\lambda_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\lambda_{12} + \alpha) & & & \\ & \sin \lambda_{12} & \sin \alpha & \\ & \sin \alpha & \sin \lambda_{12} & \\ \end{pmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

Note that (14) and (16) are the cases presented in [6].

Another situation of interest appears when $c_0 = 1$ but $c \neq 0$, which generalises the quantum Suris model (15) to give

$$\begin{aligned} I^+ &= \sum_i \left(e^{2\eta p_i} \left(1 + \eta^2 e^{\eta c (p_i - p_{i-1}) + (q_{i-1} - q_i)} \right) \right), \\ I^- &= \sum_i \left(e^{-2\eta p_i} \left(1 + \eta^2 e^{\eta c (p_{i+1} - p_i) + (q_i - q_{i+1})} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

though sharing the same quantum R-matrix with it. The Lax operator is obtained from (7) by putting $\epsilon = \eta$.

Coming now to the $c = -1$ case for arbitrary values of ϵ, it is seen clearly from (9-10) that it yields the same symmetric conserved quantities (17-18), though the corresponding R-matrix is given in a general form (2) and with a more involved Lax operator.

Finally, it is important to observe that the Hamiltonian and momentum (9-10) of the generating model is transformed exactly to the Suris form (15) under canonical transformation $(p, q) \to (P, Q)$ as

$$p = P, \qquad q + (\eta - \epsilon(1 + c))p = Q. \tag{21}$$

Therefore, since the other models are obtained from the generating model (9) at different possible values of the parameters, all of them are naturally canonically equivalent to Suris model (15). On the other hand canonical equivalence of the Suris model with the relativistic Toda chain of Ruijsenaars is already demonstrated in [4]. This establishes that the whole family of different quantum integrable disctrete-time generalisations of TC presented here are canonically equivalent also to the relativistic generalisations of the Toda chain, though they represent as such distinct systems with different Lax operators and R-matrices.

3. We switch over now to a recently proposed integrable classical lattice model close to TC, given in canonical variables p_i, q_i by the Lax operator [7]

$$L_i(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + \gamma p_i q_i + \omega_i & \beta_i p_i \\ \alpha_i q_i & \frac{\alpha_i \beta_i}{\gamma} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{22}$$

and find below a quantum as well as a discrete-time generalisation of it, obtained again from the ancestor L operator (3). Consider a realisation of (4) with $\theta = 0$ as

$$\tau^{\pm}_{1i} = \mp \frac{\hbar \gamma}{2 \sin \hbar \eta} e^{\mp \eta (N_i + \omega_i)}, \quad \tau^{\pm}_{2i} = \frac{\alpha_i \beta_i}{2\gamma} e^{\pm \eta N_i}, \quad \tau_{21i} = \beta_i A^+_i, \quad \tau_{12i} = \alpha_i (\cos \hbar \eta)\ A^-_i, \tag{23}$$

where $A^\pm$ are generalisation q-oscillators [11] given by the commutation relations

$$[N_k, A^\pm_l] = \pm i \delta_{kl} \hbar\ A^\pm_k, \quad A^-_k A^+_l - e^{\mp 2 i \hbar \eta} A^+_l A^-_k = i \delta_{kl} \hbar\ e^{\pm \eta (2N + \omega - i\hbar)}. \tag{24}$$

Through canonical variables these operators may be expressed as

$$N = pq, \ A^- = q f(N), \ \ A^+ = f(N) p, \tag{25}$$

with $f^2(N) = \frac{i\hbar}{2\cos\hbar\eta\ [i\hbar]_\eta}\frac{1}{N}\left([2N+\omega-i\hbar]_\eta - [\omega-i\hbar]_\eta\right)$ and the notation $[x]_\eta = \frac{\sinh\eta x}{\sinh\eta}$. Inserting (23) in (3) and assuming $\xi = e^{\eta\lambda}$ we get the Lax operator of the discrete-time quantum model as

$$L_i(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i\gamma\hbar}{[i\hbar]_\eta}[\lambda + N_i + \omega_i]_\eta & \beta_i A_i^+ \\ \alpha_i \cos\hbar\eta\ A_i^- & \frac{\alpha_i\beta_i}{\gamma}\cosh(\eta(N-\lambda)) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{26}$$

associated with the quantum R_0 matrix (19). In the time-continuous limit: $\eta \to 0$, (26) reduces clearly to the form (22), though giving a quantum version of it with quantum R-matrix: $R = I + \frac{i\hbar\mathcal{P}}{\lambda-\mu}$, obtainable from (19) at $\eta \to 0$.

4. Though the explicit R-matrix and L operators are found for all the above quantum DTTC, the standard quantum inverse scattering method is not applicable to them. The reason of this, as also is true for the TC, is the absence of pseodovaccum for such models. However with the use of functional Bethe ansatz (FBA), Sklyanin was able to transform the eigenvalue equation of quantum TC from n-particle to a single particle eigenfunction [3]. It is interesting to observe that the same scheme for separation of variables is generalised trivially for the present discrete-time models. Therefore referring to [3] for details we mention only its main features sticking to the R_0-matrix (19). Using QYBE (1) with $L \to T$ for the monodromy matrices $T(\xi)$ (see (8) for definition) we find $[C(\xi), C(\zeta)] = 0$, which is crucial for the application of FBA, along with functional relations between $C(\xi), A(\zeta)$ and $C(\xi), D(\zeta)$. Defining commuting operators θ_n as $C(\lambda = \theta_n) = 0$ and $\xi = e^{i\lambda}$, $\xi_n = e^{i\theta_n}$, we construct conjugate operators $\Lambda_j^- = A(\lambda \to \theta_j)$, $\Lambda_j^+ = D(\lambda \to \theta_j)$ with the proper operator ordering prescription [3]. Parallel to [3] the relations between C, A and C, D show that the operators $\Lambda_j^\pm$ shift the operators θ_j by $\mp\alpha$. Since expansion of $C(\xi, \xi_n)$ describes symmetric polynomials in ξ_n and $\Lambda_j^\pm$ have shifting effect only on ξ_j, we achieve a seperation of variable for the N-paricle eigenfunction $\phi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_N) = \prod_n^N \phi_n(\xi_n)$ symmetric in ξ_n as

$$t(\xi_n)\phi_n(\xi_n) = (A(\xi_n) + D(\xi_n))\phi_n(\xi_n) = i^{-N}\phi_n(e^{-i\alpha}\xi_n) + i^N\phi_n(e^{i\alpha}\xi_n). \tag{27}$$

The above described method of seperation of variables is applicable directly to the discrete-time models associated with R_0 matrix (19), while for other models related to (2) some more effort is needed.

We have considered here the periodic boundary condition for the discrete-time family of quantum TC, which corresponds to the root system of A_{N-1}. The extension of these models for other types of boundary conditions related to other classical algebras would be an interesting problem.

The author likes to thank Orlando Ragnisco of Rome University for many valuable discussions and Prof. Vladimir Rittenberg for encouragement and for creating a stimulating atmosphere in his research group. The support of Alexander Humboldt Foundation is thankfully acknowledged.

References

[1] S.V. Manakov, JETP 67 (1974) 269
H. Flaschka and D.W. McLaughlin, Progr.Theor.Phys. 55 (1976) 438

[2] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, Lett.Math.Phys. 2 (1977) 7
M.C. Gutzwiller, Ann. Phys. 133 (1981) 304
V. Pasquier and M. Gaudin, J. Phys. A 25 (1992) 5243

[3] E.K. Sklyanin, Lect.Notes.Phys. (Spinger) 226 (1985) 196

[4] Yu.B. Suris, Phys. Lett. A 145 (1990) 113

[5] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars Comm. Math. Phys. 133 (1990) 217

[6] A. Kundu A and B. Basu Mallick , Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7 (1992) 61

[7] P.L. Cristiansen, M.F. Jorgensen and V.B. Kuznetsov ,Lett.Math.Phys. 29 (1993) 165

[8] E.K. Sklyanin , L.A. Takhtajan and L.D. Faddeev, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 40 (1979) 194

[9] K. Sogo, M. Uchinami, Y. Akutsu and M. Wadati, Prog. Theor. Phys. 68 (1982) 508

[10] B. Basu Mallick and A. Kundu J. Phys. A 25 (1992) 4147

[11] A.J. Macfarlane, J.Phys. 22 (1989) 4581
L.C. Biederharn, J.Phys. A 22 (1989) L873